

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

DAWN MARIE PRUITT,	)	
	)	CASE NO. 4:22-CV-901
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
	)	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	)	
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,	)	
	)	<b><u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND</u></b>
	)	<b><u>ORDER</u></b>
Defendant.	)	[Regarding <a href="#">ECF No. 13</a> ]

On January 26, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation suggesting that the Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff's application for Supplemental Security Income be affirmed. *See* [ECF No. 13](#).

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection. [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service. *Id.*; [Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#). Failure to object within this time waives a party's right to appeal the district court's judgment. [Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 145 \(1985\)](#); [United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 \(6th Cir. 1981\)](#). Absent objections, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge's report without review. *See* [Thomas, 474 U.S. at 149](#).

In the instant case, objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by February 9, 2023. None of the parties of have filed any objections. Accordingly, the Court adopts the

(4:22-CV-901)

Report and Recommendation. [ECF No. 13](#). The Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff Supplemental Security Income is affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

---

April 13, 2023

Date

---

*/s/ Benita Y. Pearson*

Benita Y. Pearson  
United States District Judge